Scott. II-27—45,000—18-12-30

Lgg (1931) Department.

K SERIES.

G.O. No. 1417 Rev

DATED 8/8/1931

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council Question -

Free distribution of quinine in Siruguppa taluk (Bellary).

Council Office
6-7-31

Education

Dewan Bahadur M.Gopalaswami Mudaliyar.

THE LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT DEPT.

---

151. Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state ---

(a) whether it is a fact that the area comprising the Hospet taluk of this district has been chosen as specially malarious for the purpose of free distribution of quinine from 1928-29 to begin with for a period of one year;

(b) whether it is ~~not~~ the object of the Government to extend the scheme to other special malarial areas after experience had been gained of the working of the scheme in the selected areas;

(c) whether the special Malarial Officer and the Director of Public Health, Madras recommended to Government during 1927-28 the inclusion of the Union area of Siruguppa together with 12 wet villages on the banks of the Tungabhadra river in the scheme for the free distribution of quinine and if so what is the result of the recommendation;

(d) whether it is a fact that Government have declared Siruguppa as a malarial tract and pay special allowances to their subordinate officers stationed there;

(e) whether any such allowances are given in Hospet area;

(f) ~~(e)~~ whether it is a fact that the District Board, Bellary also moved the Government in the matter in 1929 and 1930 for the inclusion of the Siruguppa area for free distribution of quinine and for other experimental measures ~~as the said area is~~

3.151 (cont.)

~~more malarious than Hospet area;~~

(f) whether any such allowances are given in Hospet area;

(g) whether there are any particular reasons for selection of Hospet area instead of the ~~most~~ malarious area of Siruguppa taluk;

(h) whether the Government will be pleased to take steps to include this area in the scheme for free distribution of quinine and for further experiments if necessary on account of the above reasons; and

(i) how long the experiments are to be continued before expert opinion may be obtained as to its utility or otherwise?

V.H.

COUNCIL OFFICE.
6-7-1931.

Dewan Bahadur M. Gopalaswami Mudaliyar.

LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT DEPT.

QUESTION.

151. Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state:-

(a) whether it is a fact that the area comprising the Hospet taluk of this district has been chosen as specially malaricus for the purposes of free distribution of quinine from 1928-29 to begin with for a period of one year;

(a) Yes.

(b) whether it is the object of the Government to extend the scheme to other special malarial areas after experience had been gained on the working of the scheme in the selected areas;

(b) The question is under consideration.

(c) whether the special Malarial Officer and the Director of Public Health, Madras recommended to Government during 1927-28 the inclusion of the Union area of Siruguppa together with 12 wet villages on the banks of the Tungabhadra river in the scheme for the free distribution of quinine and if so what is the result of the recommendation;

(c) Yes; but the recommendation was too late for inclusion in the original scheme of free distribution of quinin and the question of extending the scheme to new areas was then postponed.

(d) whether it is a fact that Government have declared Siruguppa as a malarial tract and pay special allowances to their subordinate officers stationed there;

(d) Yes.

(e) whether any such allowances are given in Hospet area;

(e) No.

(f) whether it is a fact that the District Board, Bellary also moved the Government in the matter in 1929 and 1930 for the inclusion of the Siruguppa area for free distribution of quinine and for other experimental measures;

(f) Yes.

(g) whether there are any particular reasons for selection of Hospet area instead of the malarious area of Siruguppa taluk;

(g) Hospet was included in the scheme before an investigation by the Special Malaria Officer was conducted into the health conditions of Siruguppa taluk.

(h) how long the experiments are to be continued before expert opinion may be obtained as to its utility or otherwise?

(h) The question is under consideration.

Legislative Council Question No. 151.

Diwan Bahadur M. Gopalaswami Mudaliyar.

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Local Self Government be pleased to state —

(a) whether it is a fact that the area comprising the Hospet taluk of this district has been chosen as specially malarious for the purpose of free distribution of quinine from 1928-29 to begin with for a period of one year;

(b) whether it is the object of the Government to extend the scheme to other special malarial areas after experience had been gained on the working of the scheme in the selected areas;

(c) whether the Special Malarial Officer and the Director of Public Health, Madras, recommended to Government during 1927-28 the inclusion of the Union area of Siruguppa together with 12 wet villages on the banks of the Tungabhadra river in the scheme for the free distribution of quinine and if so, what is the result of the recommendation;

(d) whether it is a fact that Government have declared Siruguppa as a malarial tract and pay special allowances to their subordinate officers stationed there;

(e) whether any such allowances are given in Hospet area;

(f) whether it is a fact that the District Board, Bellary, also moved the Government in the matter in 1929 and 1930 for the inclusion of the Siruguppa area for free distribution of quinine and for other experimental measures;

(g) whether there are any particular reasons for selection of Hospet area instead of the malarious area of Siruguppa taluk;

(h)

(h) how long the experiments are to be continued before expert opinion may be obtained as to its utility or otherwise?

******

Local Self Government Department.

ANSWER.

(a) Yes.

(b) The question is under consideration.

(c) Yes; but the recommendation was too late for inclusion in the original scheme of free distribution of quinine and the question of extending the scheme to new areas was then postponed.

(d) Yes.

(e) No.

(f) Yes.

(g) Hospet was included in the scheme before an investigation by the Special Malaria Officer was conducted into the health conditions of Siruguppa taluk.

(h) The question is under consideration.

Fort St. George,)
Dated the 27th July 1931.)

S.G. Sengodaiyan,
Secretary to Government.

The above answer has been approved by
The Hon'ble Diwan Bahadur B. Muniswami Nayudu Garu.

To the Legislative Council Officer.

Copy to the Council Secretary to the Hon'ble the Minister for Local Self Government.

FORWARDED.
(By Order)

Superintendent. 27/7

V:K/27-7-31.

Law Dept. III-8—2,000—22-12-30.

QUESTION.—No. 151 for the meeting of the Legislative Council to be held on the 3-8-1931.

SECRETARY TO GOVT.

It is SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the L. S. G. Department.

The Question has been admitted.

27155

AR 15/7.

15/7/31

U.O. No. , *dated*

To

The L. S. G. Department.

VE

AV/7/7

L.S.G.(P.H.) Dept.

The question is not Starred

A draft answer is sent for approval

AV 20.7.31.

Mrd 21/7

SECRETARY TO GOVT. 25 JUL 1931 L.S.G. DEPT.

21/7/31

AV 24/7

Public Health

Free distribution of quinine in Siruguppa taluk.

83— 6-7-31 15-7-31 27-7-31

151 *Q.*—Diwan Bahadur M. GOPALASWAMI MUDALIYAR: Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the area comprising the Hospet taluk of this district has been chosen as specially malarious for the purpose of free distribution of quinine from 1928-29 to begin with for a period of one year;

(*b*) whether it is the object of the Government to extend the scheme to other special malarial areas after experience had been gained on the working of the scheme in the selected areas;

(*c*) whether the Special Malarial Officer and the Director of Public Health, Madras, recommended to Government during 1927-28 the inclusion of the Union area of Siruguppa together with twelve wet villages on the banks of the Tungabhadra river in the scheme for the free distribution of quinine and if so, what is the result of the recommendation:

(*d*) whether it is a fact that Government have declared Siruguppa as a malarial tract and pay special allowances to their subordinate officers stationed there;

(*e*) whether any such allowances are given in Hospet area;

(*f*) whether it is a fact that the District Board, Bellary, also moved the Government in the matter in 1929 and 1930 for the inclusion of the Siruguppa area for free distribution of quinine and for other experimental measures;

(*g*) whether there are any particular reasons for selection of Hospet area instead of the malarious area of Siruguppa taluk; and

(*h*) how long the experiments are to be continued before expert opinion may be obtained as to its utility or otherwise?

83 151—

A.—(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The question is under consideration.

(*c*) Yes; but the recommendation was too late for inclusion in the original scheme of free distribution of quinine and the question of extending the scheme to new areas was then postponed.

(*d*) Yes.

(*e*) No.

(*f*) Yes.

(*g*) Hospet was included in the scheme before an investigation by the Special Malarial Officer was conducted into the health conditions of Siruguppa taluk.

(*h*) The question is under consideration.

The papers may be
No. 1417 PH 04/8/8/31
Recorded